**Sino-Indian differences on Sikkim**

486. SHRI MURLI DEORA: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Sino-Indian differences exist over Sikkim and if so, the details thereof;

(b) the justification by China for objecting the joining of Indian Union by Sikkim in 1975;

(c) the effect of Chinese reluctance to recognise Sikkim as part of the Indian Union; and

(d) the efforts being made to resolve the boundary dispute with China which led to Chinese attack on India in 1962?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI VINOD KHANNA): (a) to (c) China has not yet formally recognized Sikkim as an integral part of India. The official web-site of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of China states that "The Chinese Government does not recognize India's illegal annexation of Sikkim."

Following the understanding reached during Prime Minister's visit to China in June 2003, the process has been started by which Sikkim will cease to be an issue in India-China relations.

(d) India and China seek a fair, reasonable and mutually acceptable solution through consultations on an equal footing. During Prime Minister's visit to China, it was agreed that pending an ultimate solution, the two countries would work together to maintain peace and tranquility in their border areas, and continue to implement the agreements signed for this purpose, including the clarification of the Line of Actual Control. The two sides agreed to each appoint a Special Representative to explore from the political perspective of the overall bilateral relationship the framework of a boundary settlement.

**Consensus on Tibet in talks with China**

†487. SHRI JANESHWAR MISHRA: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

†Original notice of the Question was received in Hindi.

(a) whether it is a fact that any new consensus has been reached between India and China on Tibet issue;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) whether it is also a fact that Tibet refugees in India have opposed this new consensus?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI VINOD KHANNA): (a) There is no change in India's position on Tibet.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

**Indian troops for Iraq despite UN disapproval**

†488. SHRI D.P. YADAV: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Indian troops are being sent to Iraq despite disapproval expressed by United Nations; if so, the reasons therefor;

(b) whether there is any pressure from USA and the United Kingdom for the same; and

(c) the country which would bear the expenses in case India sends its troops to United Nations?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI VINOD KHANNA): (a) No.

(b) No.

(c) Does not arise.

**Resolution of outstanding issues with Pakistan**

489. SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it has been brought to Government's notice that Pakistan wants resolution of all issues with India but would not sideline the core issue of Kashmir; and

---

†Original notice of the Question was received in Hindi.